

Title	Research report: 484 response spectra for explosion Resistant design and assessment	
Publisher/author	Hse	
Publication date	2006	
Executive summary	<p>This report describes a project to examine the explosion test results obtained at Spadeadam in the 1990's from the point of view of structural response.</p> <p>BP has supplied the FLACS simulated pressure traces corresponding to the experimental results obtained at Spadeadam during the 1990's.</p> <p>The technical reviews by the hse were performed by Roland Martland.</p> <p><b>Objective</b> The main objective of the project was to derive static design pressures for sizing main structures and barriers.</p> <p><b>Motivation</b> Structural engineers are presented with complex simulated or experimental pressure traces and are expected to design efficient structures to resist these loads. It is usually desirable to allow significant local plastic deformation in order to arrive at an efficient design. This often results in the need for a non-linear, dynamic design approach which may be expensive and can be prone to error.</p> <p>The response spectrum approach brings the explosion response checks within the framework of a conventional design or modification project. The information needed to apply the method is an estimate of the natural period of vibration of the target structure and its allowable ductility. The load is represented by the effective pressure 'Pe' and the load duration 'td'.</p> <p>Generation of response spectra for the experimental and simulated results has given a more robust measure of the conservatism or otherwise of the simulation traces than simple comparison of peak pressures would yield.</p> <p>The response spectrum approach has been in use for decades in the earthquake response context and was in fact pioneered in the second world war to calculate ground motion effects and structural response from explosions.</p> <p><b>The use of response spectra</b> The structural element to be assessed may be a panel, a deck, module or a whole topside if they can be idealised as a one degree of system oscillator. This process will be familiar to designers who use the Biggs response method and is in routine use.</p> <p>The next stage is to assess the allowable ductility for the structural element. The allowable ductility of a structural element is a measure of the amount of deformation the element can sustain before rupture or when its performance standards cease to be satisfied. This is usually expressed as a multiple 'μ' of the effective elastic yield displacement.</p>	
Table of contents	Executive summary..... 1. Introduction ..... 1.1 general..... 1.2 objective..... 1.3 motivation .....	1 7 7 7 7

1.4 report contents.....	8
2. Experimental explosion pressure traces .....	9
2.1 Spadeadam tests .....	9
2.2 experimental pressure trace characteristics.....	10
2.3 phase 2 – tests .....	13
2.4 phase 2 - comparison of test and simulated pressure traces.....	14
2.5 phase 3 simulations and tests .....	16
3. Biggs response curves – the prototype problem .....	20
3.1 derivation of the Biggs curves for peak response .....	20
3.2 use of the Biggs response curves .....	22
4. Response surfaces .....	24
4.1 examples response surface (Biggs).....	24
4.2 response spectra from response surfaces .....	25
4.3 response versus resistance .....	26
4.4 Biggs load and response scaling .....	27
5. The use of response spectra .....	29
5.1 overview of the method .....	29
5.2 structure idealisation .....	30
5.3 allowable ductilities .....	31
5.4 load/pressure time history idealisation.....	31
5.5 required resistance - equivalent static loads.....	31
5.6 explosion load sources .....	33
6. Results.....	34
6.1 discussion of the features of response spectra .....	34
6.2 comparison between simulated and experimental response spectra	35
6.3 Fourier transforms and response spectra.....	36
6.4 response scaling .....	37
6.5 generic response spectra .....	37
6.6 repeatability test results .....	38
7. Future work.....	39
7.1 the earthquake analogy .....	39
7.2 extension to multi-degree of freedom systems .....	40
7.3 reliability and probability of failure .....	40
7.4 membrane and tension effects.....	40
7.5 confirmation of the response spectrum approach – variability .....	40
7.6 robust software simulation/test comparisons .....	41
7.7 hse jip case study .....	41
8. Conclusions.....	42
9. References.....	43
Appendix A – characteristics of the phase 2 and phase 3 a traces – Experimental and simulations results.....	45
Appendix B – Spadeadam tests – relevant OTO reports.....	46
Appendix C – average response spectra for the phase 2 and selected Phase 3a tests .....	47
Appendix d trace processing .....	48
Appendix e closed form solutions.....	50